Building Grit: The Longitudinal Pathways between Mindset, Commitment, Grit, and Academic Outcomes
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Abstract

Despite academics enthusiasm about the concept of grit (defined as consistency of interest and perseverance of effort), its benefit for academic achievement has recently been challenged. Drawing from a longitudinal sample (N= 2018; 55.3% female; 6th–9th grades) from Finland, this study first aimed to investigate and replicate the association between grit and achievement outcomes (i.e., academic achievement and engagement). Further, the present study examined whether growth mindset and goal commitment impacted grit and whether grit acted as a mediator between growth mindset, goal commitment, and achievement outcomes. The results showed that the perseverance facet of grit in the 8th grade was associated with school achievement and engagement in the 9th grade, after controlling for students’ conscientiousness, academic persistence, prior achievement and engagement, gender and SES, although the effect on engagement was stronger than on achievement. In addition, grit was predicted by goal commitment in the 6th grade, but not by the growth mindset in the 6th grade. Finally, the perseverance of effort (not the consistency of interest) mediated the effect of goal commitment on engagement. These findings suggest that grit is associated with increased engagement and academic achievement; and practitioners who wish to improve grit of adolescents may encourage goal commitment more than growth mindset.
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Introduction

Academic learning is an incremental process that requires perseverance of effort, particularly in the face of challenges and setbacks (Binning, Wang, & Amemiya, 2018). Recent research has shown that grit, defined as passion and persistence in the pursuit of long-term goals, is an important factor related to student engagement and academic success (Duckworth, Peterson, Matthews, & Kelly, 2007; Duckworth & Quinn, 2009; Eskreis-Winkler, Shulman, Beal, & Duckworth, 2014). That is, students who work hard but also love what they do are more likely to overcome barriers and perform better (Dweck, Walton, & Cohen, 2014).

The construct of grit has been described as a resource of psychological strength, which is unique and integral to Finnish culture and the collective academic discourse. In fact, the Finnish word sisu, which is often translated as grit, denotes a determination to overcome adversity and is perceived by Finns as a hallmark of their national character (Duckworth, 2016). With grit, Finnish people survived through the harsh, cold winter and invasion of Soviet Union, and became one of the most technically advanced and happiest countries in the world (Nylund, 2018). Despite the prominent role of grit in academic learning in general and in Finnish culture specifically, few empirical studies using a longitudinal research design have examined the factors that promote grit among adolescents and in particular in the Finnish school setting. As such, this study focuses on two theoretically-driven psychological precursors (i.e., growth mindset and goal commitment), examining whether adolescents who have a growth mindset and high commitment to their educational goals tend to be gritty in academic learning. This study also investigates the extent to which grit acts as a mediator in the relationship between growth mindset, goal commitment, and academic outcomes (i.e., Grade Point Average [GPA] and engagement).

Grit, Academic Achievement and Engagement
Grit is related to retention and performance in the military, the workplace, and school (Duckworth et al., 2007; Duckworth & Quinn, 2009; Eskreis-Winkler et al., 2014). In the school context, grit has been associated with indicators of academic achievement, such as students’ current GPA (Muenks, Wigfield, Yang, & O’Neal, 2017; Muenks, Yang, & Wigfield, 2018) and future GPA (Duckworth & Quinn, 2009) in high schools. Recently, the role of grit in academic achievement has been challenged due to only low-to-moderate correlations between these two constructs, as well as grit’s low incremental validity above and beyond conscientiousness (see the meta-analysis review by Credé, Tynan, & Harms, 2017) and self-regulation (Muenks et al., 2017). One possible explanation for these findings is that most extant studies have used an overall sum score of grit by aggregating two facet-level scores (see Credé et al., 2017). When grit was examined as two separate dimensions (i.e., the consistency of interests [CI] and the perseverance of effort [PE]), PE showed stronger correlations with academic achievement than CI or overall grit scores (Credé et al., 2017; Muenks et al., 2017). In response to prior limitations, this study conceptualized grit as these two dimensions (i.e., PE and CI) to better reveal the associations between grit and academic outcomes.

It is noteworthy that one recent study (Steinmayr, Weidinger, & Wigfield, 2018) used relative weight analysis to examine grit in two German adolescent samples, finding that grit subscales (mainly those for PE) added only little-explained variance (3–6%) to academic achievement after controlling for prior school grades, personality traits, school engagement, values, self-efficacy, and other motivation variables. In Steinmayr and colleagues’ (2018) study, the effect of grit on achievement was smaller than that of ability self-concept, expectancies of success, or school engagement. However, grit had similar effect sizes to those of self-efficacy, achievement value, and interest in predicting achievement. Given that few empirical studies of grit have been conducted in Finland, one aim of this study was to
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examine whether the association between grit and academic achievement would be replicated in the Finnish school context because of the salience of and emphasis on grit within the Finnish culture.

In addition to GPA, grit has been positively associated with another indicator of academic outcomes: school engagement (Muenks et al., 2017; Steinmayr et al., 2018); yet many extant studies have treated engagement as a covariate instead of an outcome (Muenks et al., 2017, 2018; Steinmayr et al., 2018). By including engagement and achievement as outcomes, the differential effects between grit and achievement and grit and engagement can be examined, therefore uncovering other benefits that grit may bring to students’ learning. As student engagement has shown to be an important school factor for students’ achievement and wellbeing (Archambault, Janosz, Morizot, & Pagani, 2009; Wang & Eccles, 2012), engagement shall be examined as an independent academic outcome of grit.

Furthermore, most existing studies of grit and engagement have used cross-sectional data. To date, there appears to be only one published longitudinal study and this short-term (4-month) longitudinal study (O’Neal, Goldthrite, Weston Riley, & Atapattu, 2018) found a reciprocal relationship between grit and school engagement: grit predicted later school engagement, and in turn, school engagement predicted grit in the third wave. However, O’Neal and colleagues’ study was limited to a sample of dual-language learners in primary school (3rd–5th grade). Given that adolescents often disengage from school and lose study interest (Wigfield et al., 2015), it is important to understand how to promote grit and engagement during the secondary school years.

Psychological Antecedents of Grit

Researchers have argued that grit is a malleable trait (Duckworth, 2016; Park, Yu, Baelen, Tsukayama, & Duckworth, 2018), and Duckworth (2016) discussed possible psychological sources of grit (i.e., interest, deliberate practices, purpose, and hope). Scant
empirical studies have examined the antecedents of grit, with existing studies indicating that life purpose commitment (Hill, Burrow, & Bronk, 2016) and self-reported mindfulness (Raphiphatthana, Jose, & Salmon, 2018) are potential precursors to grit. However, both of these studies used samples of undergraduates, thus providing little knowledge on the antecedents of grit among adolescents in secondary school. Another recent study demonstrated that the type of goal orientation embedded within a school’s culture matters in the development of grit among adolescents students: When adolescents perceived their school environment as mastery-oriented, they displayed a higher level of grit (Park et al., 2018). As can be seen, the extant literature on adolescent students’ grit remains in its nascent stages. Research that explores the predictive factors of grit in adolescence is sorely needed, as these studies could illuminate important practical implications for schools and students that address adolescents’ declining engagement, motivation, and willingness to learn after entering secondary school (Upadyaya & Salmela-Aro, 2013; Wigfield et al., 2015).

In the present study, two psychological antecedents of grit—growth mindset and commitment towards education-related goals—were examined. Mindset, also known as the implicit theory of intelligence, is the belief an individual holds about the developmental nature of intelligence (Dweck, 1986). A growth mindset reflects the belief that intelligence is malleable and can be improved through practice and hard work. Conversely, a fixed mindset is the belief that intelligence is a finite ability that cannot be changed or improved (Dweck, 1986). According to Dweck (1986), people with a growth mindset tend to have learning goals oriented towards mastery; therefore, these individuals are more likely to view challenges as learning opportunities, be open to failure, and show high persistence in the face of difficulties and setbacks. In other words, a growth mindset is hallmarked by perseverance in learning efforts and displaying high consistency in pursuing their learning goals, two elements central to the concept of grit. In fact, the theoretical assumption that growth mindset improves grit.
Running head: BUILDING GRIT

has been discussed widely (e.g., Dweck et al., 2014; Duckworth, 2016), despite the absence of empirical studies that would validate this assumption.

In addition to mindset, the present study also examines goal commitment as a precursor of grit. According to Klein, et al. (2013), goal commitment is a volitional psychological bond reflecting the dedication to a specific goal. Thus, high commitment toward a goal encourages individuals adhering to their goal and being persistence and consistency in their goal achieving process, which are notably associated with grit (Duckworth, 2016). One previous study (Hill et al., 2016) found that having a life purpose and being highly committed to this purpose predicts grit among undergraduates. Accordingly, in the school context, it is reasonable to assume that students who have a strong commitment towards an educational goal (e.g., good grades, attending university) display high grit in their daily school learning.

**Grit as a Mediator**

Studies have shown that growth mindsets are positively associated with academic achievement (see meta-analysis review Costa & Faria, 2018). Indeed, interventions (Blackwell, Trzesniewski, & Dweck, 2007; Yeager et al., 2016) have demonstrated that encouraging students to adopt growth mindset improves their academic achievement. As such, it appears that the underlying mechanism behind this relationship is that individuals with a growth mindset attribute their successes and failures to effort and practice rather than to ability (Dweck, 1986).

Students with a growth mindset are more likely to perceive challenges and setbacks as opportunities to learn; therefore, they tend to show persistence in the face of obstacles and pay more attention to coping with problems. This persistence and attention, in turn, lead to academic success in school (Dweck et al., 2014). Consequently, grit may act as a mediator between growth mindset and academic engagement and achievement. For example, Zeng,
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Hou, & Peng’s (2016) cross-sectional study indicated that students’ resilience mediated the relationship between growth mindset, school engagement, and wellbeing. Unfortunately, there does not appear to be any empirical longitudinal studies examining the association between grit, mindset, academic achievement, and school engagement.

It is also plausible that grit acts as a mediator between goal commitment, school engagement, and academic achievement. According to the goal-setting theory (Locke & Latham, 2002), having a goal leads an individual to direct their attention and energize their efforts, which in turn positively affects their performance. When goals are challenging and require intensive efforts, commitment has been shown to be the most significant predictive factor as to whether people stick with or abandon their goals as compared to other factors, such as feedback and incentives (Locke & Latham, 2002). Besides, some studies have established the relationship between goal commitment, effort, persistence, and performance (Chang, Johnson, & Lord, 2009; Klein et al., 2013).

Current Study

To address limitations in existing research and advance scholarly discourse, this study examines the longitudinal association between growth mindset, goal commitment, grit, academic achievement, and school engagement among 6th through 9th graders enrolled in Finnish secondary schools. In this study, conscientiousness, academic persistence, previous achievement and engagement, gender, and socioeconomic status were included as covariates, given that grit has been suggested to conceptually overlap with conscientiousness and self-control and shows no strong incremental validity over them (Credé et al., 2017; Muenks et al., 2017). In sum, this study addressed three primary questions (see Figure 1 as the theoretical framework).

First, does Finnish adolescents’ grit (i.e., consistency of interest and perseverance of effort) influence their academic achievement and engagement, after controlling for
conscientiousness, academic persistence, previous achievement and engagement, gender and socio-economic status? According to recent findings (Credé et al., 2017; Muenks et al., 2017), the authors hypothesize that perseverance of effort, rather than consistency of interest, will be positively associated with achievement and engagement (Hypothesis 1).

Next, this study investigates whether adolescents’ growth mindset and commitment to an education-related goal impact their level of grit. Based on theories of intelligence (Dweck, 1986) and goal-setting (Locke & Latham, 2002), the authors expect that students with a growth mindset (Hypothesis 2a) and commitment to an educational goal (Hypothesis 2b) are more likely to have a higher level of grit.

Finally, this study asks whether grit mediates the pathway between growth mindset, goal commitment, and academic outcomes (i.e., engagement and achievement). Given that the growth mindset and goal commitment have been shown to (a) correlate with academic performance and engagement and (b) lead to persistence when facing challenges and suffering setbacks, the authors expect that grit will mediate the link among mindset-outcomes (Hypothesis 3a) and commitment-outcomes (Hypothesis 3b). Furthermore, the authors expect that the mediation effect of grit will mainly lie in its perseverance of effort facet (Hypothesis 3c), as recent empirical studies have demonstrated perseverance of effort to have a stronger association with achievement and engagement than will consistency of interest and overall grit (Credé et al., 2017; Muenks et al., 2017).

**Methods**

The sample in the present study consisted of Finnish adolescents (6th–9th grades) who participated in the Mind-the-Gap longitudinal study (2013–2016). During the first assessment (6th grade), the sample included 747 students from 33 schools (55.8% female, ages 12-13). In each subsequent year, the study sought to track the students who had participated in the previous assessment(s) while also incorporating students who had become members of Mind-
the-Gap classrooms since the initial assessment. This strategy resulted in the following sample sizes for data collection in 2014, 2015, and 2016: 1,296 students in 7th grade (56.4% female), 1,166 students in 8th grade (57.4% female), and 853 students in 9th grade (59.9% female). Across all four assessments (i.e., 6th–9th grade), a total of 2,018 students (55.3% female) took part in the study. 59.91% of the sample (N=1,209) participated in at least two assessments, and 33.89% (N=684) took part in at least three assessments. The response rate at each time wave was high, with missing data rates being 1.5%, 1.8%, 3.8% and 15% from 6th to 9th grade, respectively. The questionnaire was administered during school hours and took about an hour to complete. Participation was voluntary, and informed consent forms were collected from both the students and their parents.

**Measurements**

**Grit.** Students’ grit was measured using the short version of the grit scale (8 items; Duckworth & Quinn, 2009) in Grade 8 (Wave 3). In this short scale, four items addressed the students’ consistency of interests (CI: e.g., “I often set a goal but later choose to pursue a different one”), and four items tapped the students’ perseverance of effort (PE: e.g., “I am diligent”). The participants indicated their agreement with each item on a scale of 1 = not at all like me, to 5 = very much like me. The Cronbach alpha in this study for CI was .70 and for PE, .78.

**Growth mindset.** The students’ mindsets about learning and ability were assessed using six items (e.g., “Intelligence is something about a person that they can’t change very much”, “Conscientiousness and diligence are human qualities that are hard to change by oneself.”) adopted from the Growth Mindset Measure (Blackwell et al., 2007) in the first wave (6th grade). Participants were asked to indicate their agreement with each item on a scale of 1 = Completely disagree to 5 = Completely agree. Two items (e.g., “Some people are more hardworking by nature than others.”) and “A person can achieve almost anything if they
really want to and are willing to work for it.”) were removed from further analyses due to their poor factor loadings (< .1). The remaining four items ($a = .68$) were then reverse coded, with higher scores representing students who had more of a growth than a fixed mindset.

**Education-related goal commitment.** The revised version of the Personal Project Analysis Inventory (B. R. Little, 1983) was used to measure the education-related personal goal assessment in 6th grade (Wave 1). First, participants were asked to write down one personal goal related to school and education. Then they were asked to appraise this goal and specify their goal-directed behaviors (see Flunger, Marttinen, Tuominen-Soini, & Salmela-Aro, 2016). Goal commitment was measured using three items (e.g., “How committed are you to this goal?”, “How important is this goal?”; $\alpha = .76$). The items were rated on a seven-point Likert-type scale, ranging from $1 = not at all$ to $7 = very much$.

**Academic Engagement.** The present study assessed academic engagement using the Schoolwork Engagement Inventory (EDA; Salmela-Aro & Upadaya, 2012) in the 9th grade (Wave 4). The EDA measures energy (three items, e.g., “When I study, I feel that I am bursting with energy’’), dedication (three items, e.g., “I am enthusiastic about my studies’’), and absorption (three items, e.g., “Time flies when I’m studying’’) in relation to school. Participants rated all items on a seven-point Likert-type scale ranging from $1 = Never$ to $7 = Every day$. In this study, the Cronbach alphas for the subscales and the overall scale were $.85$, $.86$, $.83$ and $.95$, respectively.

**Academic achievement.** Students’ grade point averages (GPAs) were obtained from school records in each subject in the spring and fall term of the 9th grade. This data enabled us to calculate their GPA based on two terms.

**Covariates.** Gender, SES, conscientiousness, and academic persistence were assessed using self-report items at Wave 3 (8th grade). Seventh grade GPA and engagement were included as covariates as well. SES was determined by asking participants to rate their
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family’s financial situation (1 = bad to 5 = good). Conscientiousness was measured by two items (“I can be careless sometimes” and “I tend to be lazy”; α = .64) on a five-point Likert-type scale (i.e., 1 = Completely disagree to 5 = Completely agree), and these responses were reverse-coded for further analyses. The measure for academic persistence was adapted from the Achievement Goal Orientation Scale-academic withdrawal (Niemivirta, 2002), which consisted of three items (e.g. “I have realized that I give up easily if school tasks are difficult.”; α = .81) rated on a seven-point Likert scale (1 = Not true at all to 7 = Very true). These responses were also reverse coded for further analyses.

**Analysis Strategy**

The missing completely at random test (MCAR; Little, 1988) for each variable revealed that data was not missing completely at random. Since this study used a longitudinal design using a “snowball” strategy for the sample recruitment, a series of attrition tests were conducted to compare the key studied variables of those who participated in the following data collection and those who dropped out. The 6th graders who also participated in the 7th grade (N=411) and those who quit the study (N=336) did not differ in terms of their gender ($\chi^2 = .00, p = .96$), growth mindset ($t = 1.19, p = .23$), engagement ($t = 1.54, p = .12$), or GPA ($t = 1.81, p = .07$) in the 6th grade. The 7th graders who also participated in the 8th grade (N=768) and those who did not (N=528) did not differ in terms of goal commitment ($t = 1.23, p = .22$), engagement ($t = -.07, p = .95$) or GPA ($t = 1.64, p = .10$) in the 7th grade; however, more girls than boys participated in the following data collection (i.e., 8th grade; $\chi^2 = 5.52, p < .05$). The 8th graders who also participated in the 9th grade (N=603) and those who did not (N=563) did not differ in terms of Grit-CI ($t = -.42, p = .67$), SES ($t = 1.45, p = .15$), academic persistence ($t = 1.65, p = .10$) or conscientiousness ($t = .35, p = .72$) in the 8th grade, but those who stayed in the 9th grade had higher Grit-PE ($t = 2.03, p < .05$), engagement ($t = 2.26, p < .05$) and GPA ($t = 5.08, p < .001$) in the 8th grade, and tended to be girls rather than
boys ($\chi^2 = 5.02, p < .05$). Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) estimation with the robust maximum likelihood estimator was used to handle the missing data.

In this study, structural equation modeling (SEM) was used for the path analyses and was conducted in Mplus (Version 8; Muthén and Muthén 1998–2017). In order to see the unique role of grit beyond its competitive constructs (e.g., conscientiousness and academic persistence), three models were run separately. The first model included grit-CI and grit-PE as mediators and gender and SES as covariates. The second model added conscientiousness and academic persistence as extra mediators to examine the unique role of grit. The final model added previous GPA and engagement as additional covariates to see whether the grit effect remained.

Results

Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was first used to assess factor structure and inter-correlations among all variables included in this study. Mean scores, standard deviations, and correlations between the latent variables are listed in Table 1. The CFA provided a good fit, $\chi^2 = 2377.74, p < .001$, CFI = .92, TLI = .91, RMSEA = .03. The sizes of all factor loadings were acceptable, ranging from .36 to .88. As shown in Table 1, growth mindset and goal commitment were more highly correlated with grit-PE ($r_s = .15 – .31$) than with grit-CI ($r_s = .08 – .09$). Grit-CI had stronger associations with conscientiousness and academic persistence ($r_s = .48 – .52$) than grit-PE ($r_s = .30 – .39$). Moreover, engagement and GPA were more closed with grit-PE ($r_s = .29 – .46$) than grit-CI ($r_s = .05 – .11$).

The first mediation model (i.e., grit-CI and grit-PE as the only mediators; gender and SES as covariates) showed a good model fit, $\chi^2 = 1042.68, p < .001$, CFI = .92, TLI = .90, RMSEA = .04 (see Appendix Table 3 and Figure 4). The second mediation model, which added conscientiousness and academic persistence as extra mediators, still displayed good model fit, $\chi^2 = 1390.03$, CFI = .91, TLI = .90, RMSEA = .03 (see Table 2 and Figure 2). The
model fit for the final mediation model when adding 7th grade GPA and engagement as extra covariates, was also good, $\chi^2 = 2377.74$, CFI =.92, TLI =.91, RMSEA =.03 (see Table 2 and Figure 3).

**Grit and Academic Outcomes**

After all covariates (i.e., conscientiousness, academic persistence, prior GPA and engagement, gender and SES) were entered (Model 3), PE affected both GPA ($\beta = .12, p < .01$) and engagement ($\beta = .22, p < .01$) significantly. In other words, a higher PE was associated with later achievement and engagement in school after grit’s competitive constructs (i.e., conscientiousness and academic persistence), the students’ GPA and engagement, and demographic variables were taken into account. However, CI did not affect GPA ($\beta = -.03, p = .26$) or engagement ($\beta = .05, p = .44$) after including control variables.

**Growth Mindset, Goal Commitment, and Grit**

Before prior GPA and engagement were entered into the model, Model 2 showed that growth mindset positively related with PE ($\beta = .14, p = .05$), but not CI ($\beta = .10, p = .20$); however, the effect of growth mindset on PE disappeared after prior GPA and engagement were included ($\beta = .05, p = .49$, Model 3). In other words, the impact of growth mindset on grit was rather limited after prior GPA and engagement were considered. The relationship between goal commitment and grit was more stable. In Model 2, goal commitment significantly associated with grit-PE ($\beta = .29, p < .01$) but not grit-CI ($\beta = .10, p = .16$). After accounting for prior GPA and engagement (Model 3), goal commitment still affected grit-PE ($\beta = .15, p < .05$). Thus, when adolescents are committed to an educational goal, they tend to have high perseverance of effort.

**Grit as a Mediator between Growth Mindset and Academic Outcomes**

When only gender and SES were included as covariates (Model 1; see Appendix Table 3), results showed that grit-PE ($\beta = .05, p < .05$), and not grit-CI ($\beta = .00, p = .62$),
mediated the pathway between growth mindset and GPA. This mediation effect by grit-PE still held after conscientiousness and academic persistence were included ($\beta = .03, p < .05$), but this effect did not hold when further including prior GPA and engagement as covariates ($\beta = .01, p = .49$). Grit-PE and grit-CI did not play a mediating role in the pathway between growth mindset and engagement in any of the three models.

**Grit as a Mediator between Goal commitment and Academic Outcomes**

Model 2, which controlled for conscientiousness, academic persistence, gender and SES, showed that grit-PE ($\beta = .06, p < .01; \beta = .10, p < .01$) but not grit-CI ($\beta = -.02, p = .21; \beta = .00, p = .99$) mediated the effects of goal commitment on GPA and engagement, respectively. Further analyses revealed that grit-PE ($\beta = .03, p < .05$) still mediated the relationship between goal commitment and engagement, even after adding prior GPA and engagement to the model, but grit-PE ($\beta = .02, p = .07$) did not serve as a mediator between goal commitment and 9th grade GPA. In other words, adolescents are likely to have higher PE when committed to an education-related goal, and this perseverance contributed to their higher school engagement.

**Alternate Model Analyses**

Given that a transition period happened from 6th grade to 7th grade, the authors tested an alternate model that replaced 6th grade goal commitment with 7th grade goal commitment. However, growth mindset was only measured at the 6th grade in the study. Most of the original findings held in this alternative model and some associations were stronger among 7th grade goal commitment, 8th grade grit-PE, and 9th grade engagement. For example, 7th grade goal commitment influenced grit-PE ($\beta = .23, p < .01$) and grit-CI ($\beta = .10, p = .06$) marginally. A stronger mediation effect of grit-PE ($\beta = .08, p < .01$) was found between 7th grade goal commitment and engagement.

**Discussion**
Although it has been proposed that grit is a psychological strength that promotes student engagement and achievement in academic learning, few empirical studies have attempted to investigate the determinants of two grit facets—perseverance of effort and consistency of interest—and their associations with adolescents’ achievement outcomes, particularly in Finland. The findings indicated that grit, specifically the perseverance of effort facet, makes a unique contribution to promoting students’ academic outcomes and engagement (Hypothesis 1). Moreover, although growth mindset had an impact on perseverance of effort over conscientiousness and academic persistence; its role as a precursor of grit was trivial after accounting for prior achievement and engagement. Results also demonstrated that goal commitment is a stronger precursor of grit than growth mindset. Finally, this study showed that perseverance of effort (but not consistency of interest) mediated the association between goal commitment and engagement.

**Engagement as a Stronger Outcome than Achievement**

This study indicated that grit-perseverance of effort had a small but unique effect on adolescents’ academic achievement in Finnish schools. Recent grit studies on adolescents from the U.S. (Muenks et al., 2017; Usher, Li, Butz, & Rojas, 2018) and Germany (Steinmayr et al., 2018) have shown mostly null associations between grit and achievement when prior achievement and motivation-related variables were controlled for, although these findings have not been consistent, possibly varying according to the context. In another recent study, Park et al. (2018) drew an adolescent sample from the U.S. and found grit to have a modestly positive effect on achievement. The context of this study was in Finland, where the concept of *sisu* has been much appreciated and cultivated (Duckworth, 2016). *Sisu*, a term (Nylund, 2018) that mixes the meaning of courage, tenacity, or perseverance, characterizes the spirit of life for Finns. It is possible the adolescents growing up in Finland are more likely to accept and less likely to resist the value of perseverance than their
counterparts in other Western countries. Finnish students may also be more likely to translate their beliefs and acts of perseverance into school-work, thus bolstering their academic achievement.

This study also found that grit-perseverance of effort had a greater impact on engagement than academic achievement. In other words, the higher association between grit and engagement may imply that engagement is a more proximal outcome of grit than achievement. One speculation about the weak relationship between grit and achievement is that the influence of grit on achievement may go through engagement or other variables such as studying efforts, and motivations (Usher et al., 2018). Indeed, recent studies have shown that school engagement mediates the relationship between grit and academic performance (O’Neal et al., 2018). In sum, these findings are significant and remit messages that more studies from other cultures or contexts are needed to replicate the associations between grit and achievement; and more academic outcomes, not limited to the academic achievement and engagement, need to be studied in relation to grit in the future.

**Goal Commitment as a Better Precursor than Growth Mindset**

Behavior genetic studies (Vukasović & Bratko, 2015) have confirmed that about 40% of someone’s personality, which is often regarded as a stable and unchanged trait, is due to genetic reasons, leaving about 60% of personality variations attributable to environmental influences. In other words, a large part of human personalities can be changed, shaped, and cultivated. Conceptualized as a malleable trait (Duckworth, 2016; Duckworth et al., 2007), grit is influenced by many external and internal forces. Although the growth mindset had been discussed extensively as a potential precursor for grit (Duckworth, 2016; Dweck et al., 2014), the findings of the current study did not support this assumption (H2a). While growth mindset was found to significantly associate with grit-perseverance of effort (but not consistency of interest) when conscientiousness, academic persistence, and demographics
were accounted for, the effect of growth mindset on grit-perseverance of effort disappeared once prior achievement and engagement were constrained in the model. This result may be partly due to the age groups of the samples—adolescents. Grit has been conceptualized as the passion and perseverance for a long-term goal; nonetheless, adolescents are still exploring and forming their identities and interests while simultaneously pursuing multiple goals (Flunger et al., 2016). Thus, it is likely that the growth mindset may have less influence on grit for adolescent students.

However, once adolescents have an education-related goal and commit to it, they are likely to have higher perseverance of effort, even after controlling for conscientiousness, academic persistence, prior academic achievement and engagement, gender, and socioeconomic status. This finding is in line with the authors’ expectation (H2b). In this study, goal commitment was measured by asking adolescents to write down one of their educational-related goals first, and then asking them to rate how committed they are in pursuing this goal. It is plausible that when adolescents are committed to this self-stated educational goal, they are more likely to show high persistence in their study efforts and interest in school (Klein et al., 2013; Locke & Latham, 2002). This finding is also consistent with previous research showing that college students’ grit was predicted by their life purpose commitment (Hill et al., 2016).

In short, the findings have two important implications for future studies of grit and interventions targeting grit. First, contrary to the much-discussed assumption (Duckworth, 2016; Dweck et al., 2014), growth mindset may only act as a marginal predictor of grit, at least for adolescent groups. Second, encouraging adolescents to set a goal and to commit to this goal may help develop their grit.

The Mediating Role of Grit
Given that a weak association between growth mindset and grit was observed in this study, it is not surprising that grit did not mediate the relationship between growth mindset and academic outcomes, which is inconsistent with the stated hypothesis (H3a). However, these results extend previous research by indicating that adolescents’ educational goals and their level of commitment to these goals enhance grit-perseverance (rather than consistency of interest), which in turn increase engagement in schoolwork (H3b & H3c). The goal-setting theory posits that people with a goal and commitment to this goal would lead them to spend their effort intensively and to show persistence in finishing their goal (Locke & Latham, 2002). Likewise, the four Cs - channeling, choice, co-agency, and compensation of the motivation model of life development (Salmela-Aro, 2009) state that after setting personal goals, people engage in regulation of their goal-oriented efforts and activities that help them reach their goals. With extant evidence showing that adolescents have a natural decline in school engagement (Upadyaya & Salmela-Aro, 2013; Wigfield et al., 2015), the mediation role of grit implies that grit and goal commitment can be targeted as important point for intervention for those hoping to increase adolescents’ school engagement.

Marginal Role of Grit-Consistency of Interest in Findings

Throughout the findings, consistency of interest consistently was not associated with growth mindset, goal commitment, grit, and academic outcomes. One reason for this absence, as stated above, could be that the adolescent and young adult participants were actively exploring their identities and interests (Flunger et al., 2016). Accordingly, it is difficult to observe adolescents as holding a strong interest in specific things or goals, which may contribute to the weak relationship between consistency of interest and academic outcomes. Another explanation for these results may relate to measurement issues. Consistency of interest was measured by negatively worded items. These items are sometimes psychometrically problematic because they are more difficult to answer and tend to have
lower reliability and validity (Credé, 2018). Furthermore, there are issues with measuring grit as a factor in making long-term goals. Though Duckworth claimed that grit denotes passion and perseverance in pursuing a long-term goal, the measurement of grit itself does not sufficiently tap into the long-term feature of the concept (Credé, 2018; Muenks et al., 2017). For example, in the item “I often set a goal but later choose to pursue a different one” the term later is ill-qualified to refer to long-term goals, and some other items do not even reflect or include the term long-term (e.g., “New ideas and projects sometimes distract me from previous ones.”). Future research should refine grit measurement and examine whether the new items of long-term consistency of interest and perseverance of effort affect academic outcomes.

Implications
During the last decade, grit has been introduced, popularized, and caught intensive attention both within and outside of academia. Many national and international institutes have started to include grit in their assessments of students’ learning in school (National Assessment of Educational Progress, 2017; OECD, 2015), and policymakers have suggested that grit and other “non-cognitive” factors should be promoted in schools (Gutman & Schoon, 2013; Zhou, 2016). Most recent studies (Credé et al., 2017; Muenks et al., 2017; Usher et al., 2018), though, have challenged the effects of grit on academic achievement. By showing grit to have a unique but small incremental effect on academic achievement in the Finnish adolescents, the present study is opening a call to have more studies of grit and achievement from other countries and cultures. The majority of grit studies have been conducted in the United States (e.g., Duckworth et al., 2007; Eskreis-Winkler et al., 2014; Muenks et al., 2017), thus, the field needs studies with diversified samples to replicate previous findings to address the recent debate of the grit-achievement link (Credé et al., 2017). In addition, the finding that grit related more strongly to school engagement than to academic achievement
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supports the call for future research that explores other educational outcomes associated with grit. This study should be regarded as a seminal work, with the hopes of sparking more studies of grit in more diversified samples with a wider range of academic outcomes.

For those who are interested in promoting grit in their classroom, school, or organizations, this study suggests that goal commitment, not the growth mindset, should be the target for enhancing grit, particularly for adolescents. This finding should underscore the importance of understanding youth development and inform policymakers and practitioners in their efforts to promote grit. So, what are the best possible ways to increase adolescents’ commitment towards an educational-related goal? Eccles’ theory of expectancy-value (Wigfield & Eccles, 2000) and stage-environment fit (Eccles & Roeser, 2009) suggests that the home context, school environment, and school-related experiences matter in student commitment. To promote this commitment, parents should value education, transfer their expectations for academic achievement to their children, and be involved in children’s learning (Wang & Sheikh-Khalil, 2014), while school should create an environment that promotes students’ academic engagement, avoids negative learning experiences (e.g., burnout), and provides appropriate stage-fitted supports (Wang & Degol, 2016). Locke (2002) and Klein (2013) have also suggested internal factors, such as goal importance, goal explicitness, and self-efficacy, they may help people to highly commit to and complete their goals.

Limitations and Future Directions

This study has several limitations. First, this study examined only two psychological precursors of grit, but more of these factors exist, both on the psychological and social sides. For example, Duckworth (2016) discussed at least four psychological sources (i.e., interest, deliberate practice, purpose, and hope) and three social sources (i.e., parenting, participation in extracurricular activities, and culture) for developing grit. Perceived autonomy support has
also been shown to predict persistence among professional swimmers (Pelletier, Fortier, Vallerand, & Brière, 2002). Hence, it is reasonable to assume that supportive parenting and autonomy granted by parents are related to grit. Future studies should examine other antecedents of grit to advance the knowledge about how to improve it.

Furthermore, future studies should also examine different indicators of academic outcomes, especially longitudinal indicators such as school/college choice and college completion. Previous studies (e.g., Eskreis-Winkler et al., 2014) have demonstrated that grit has strong associations with high school graduation after controlling for conscientiousness, academic achievement, demographics (e.g., gender, SES), and situational variables (e.g., perceived teacher support). Since grit conceptually includes striving for long-term outcomes (Duckworth et al., 2007), researchers must examine how it affects adolescents’ long-term academic outcomes in the future. In doing so, the most direct, strongest, and weakest products of grit can be determined, thereby providing knowledge about the boundaries of grit effects.

In addition, though this study used the longitudinal data, growth mindset was only measured at 6th grade and grit was not assessed at 7th grade. As such, the longitudinal mediation effect of grit is somehow limited in this study. Therefore, it would be beneficial in future research to study the dynamic associations among growth mindset, goal commitment, grit, and academic outcomes using the full longitudinal mediation design.

Finally, future studies should use alternative analysis methods, such as the person-oriented approaches (e.g., Guo, Wang, Ketonen, Eccles, & Salmela-Aro, 2018), to study the effect of grit across multiple outcomes. For example, grit was conceptualized as perseverance and consistency in pursuing a long-term goal, but in the school context, this definition is likely to hold only among those with a strong personal goal related to their education (e.g., attending university). Those who choose personal goals other than education, such as sports
or music, may “be gritty” but not show grit in their academic learning. Rather, these individuals might apply their grit to physical training or practice. Therefore, it is possible that these adolescents may have high-levels of grit that would be undetectable by a survey exclusively measuring academic grit, thus suggesting context- or activity-dependent grit. Person-oriented approaches can help scholars examine this phenomenon to better understand the complex connections between grit and achievements.

**Conclusion**

Grit has sparked interest and enthusiasm of researchers and practitioners due to its potential benefit for facilitating positive youth development. However, the role of grit in academic achievement has been challenged recently due to its limited and inconsistent empirical evidence, and scholars have called for more studies across different contexts, developmental periods, and academic outcomes. This study demonstrated that in a culture with strong endorsement and appreciation of grit, adolescents’ academic achievement and engagement can be potentially enhanced through fostering grit. These findings highlight the benefit of grit in promoting students’ academic success and emphasized the necessity of conducting studies of grit in diverse contexts and samples. In order to help adolescents to be grittier in the school, growth mindset may not be the viable option as it has been hypothesized. In contrast, educational policy makers, practitioners, and school-based interventionists who aim for promoting grit in adolescents shall consider focusing on goal commitment. Helping adolescents to set and develop a proper long-term goal on education and providing the supportive environment to achieve that goal may increase their level of grit, and in turn, promote adolescents’ engagement and achievement in the school.
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# Table 1. Descriptive and Correlations among Studied Variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GROW6</td>
<td>GCOM6</td>
<td>CI8</td>
<td>PE8</td>
<td>CONS8</td>
<td>Aca_per8</td>
<td>SES</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>GPA7</td>
<td>ENG7</td>
<td>GPA9</td>
<td>ENG9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 GROW6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 GCOM6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 CI8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 PE8</td>
<td></td>
<td>.15*</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 CONS8</td>
<td></td>
<td>.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>.14†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.02**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Aca_per8</td>
<td></td>
<td>.13*</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.12†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 SES</td>
<td></td>
<td>.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td>.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.07†</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 GPA7</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td></td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.29**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.06†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 ENG7</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 GPA9</td>
<td>.30**</td>
<td>.13*</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>-.21**</td>
<td>.87**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 ENG9</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.11*</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>-.06†</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.51**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>1-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>.98</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>1-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>5-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>5-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* GROW = Growth mindset; GCOM = Goal Commitment; CI = Consistency of Interest; PE = Perseverance of Effort; CONS = Conscientiousness; Aca_per = Academic persistence; SES = Social Economic Status; ENG = Engagement; Number after name denotes measurement grade level.  
† *p < .10, * *p < .05, ** *p < .01;
### Table 2. Total Effects, Indirect Effects, and Direct Effects for Mediation Model 2 and Model 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th></th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>Engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β (SE)</td>
<td>β (SE)</td>
<td>β (SE)</td>
<td>β (SE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth mindset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct effect</td>
<td>.10 (.08)</td>
<td>.14† (.07)</td>
<td>.21** (.05)</td>
<td>.05 (07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total indirect effect</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.06* (.03)</td>
<td>.06* (.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific indirect effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROW-&gt;CI-&gt;DV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-.02 (.01)</td>
<td>.00 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROW-&gt;PE-&gt;DV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.03* (.01)</td>
<td>.05† (.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROW-&gt;AP-&gt;DV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.04* (.02)</td>
<td>.01 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROW-&gt;CONS-&gt;DV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.00 (.00)</td>
<td>.00 (.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total effect</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.27** (.05)</td>
<td>.02 (07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal commitment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct effect</td>
<td>.10 (.07)</td>
<td>.29** (.07)</td>
<td>.01 (.06)</td>
<td>.13 (.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total indirect effect</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.09** (.03)</td>
<td>.11** (.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific indirect effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCOM-&gt;CI-&gt;DV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-.02 (.01)</td>
<td>.00 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCOM-&gt;PE-&gt;DV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.06** (.02)</td>
<td>.10** (.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCOM-&gt;AP-&gt;DV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.04† (.02)</td>
<td>.01 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCOM-&gt;CONS-&gt;DV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.01 (.01)</td>
<td>-.00 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total effect</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.10† (.05)</td>
<td>.24** (.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covariates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td>.01 (.02)</td>
<td>.08** (.02)</td>
<td>.01 (.04)</td>
<td>.07 (.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>.01 (.01)</td>
<td>-.01 (.01)</td>
<td>-.47** (.06)</td>
<td>-.15† (.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA7</td>
<td>.00 (.05)</td>
<td>.17** (.04)</td>
<td>.81** (.02)</td>
<td>.01 (.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENG7</td>
<td>.04 (.06)</td>
<td>.37** (.05)</td>
<td>-.02 (.02)</td>
<td>.38** (.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Note. All coefficients shown are standardized; 1Controlled for Gender, SES, Conscientiousness, and Academic persistence; 2Adding previous GPA and engagement as extra covariates; 3GROW=Growth mindset; GCOM=Goal Commitment; CI=Consistency of Interest; PE=Perseverance of Effort; AP=Academic persistence; CONS=Conscientiousness; DV=dependent variable;
† p <.10, * p <.05, ** p <.01.
Figure 1. Theoretical model.
Figure 2. Mediation model 2; adding academic persistence and conscientiousness as extra covariates. For the ease of model presentation, only significant path were presented.
Figure 3. Mediation model 3; adding 7\textsuperscript{th} grade achievement (GPA) and engagement as extra covariates. For the ease of model presentation, only significant path were presented.
Table 3. Total Effects, Indirect Effects, and Direct Effects for Mediation Model 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>PE</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>Engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Growth mindset</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct effect</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.14*</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total indirect effect</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific indirect effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROW-&gt;CI-&gt;DV¹</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROW-&gt;PE-&gt;DV¹</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total effect</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal commitment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct effect</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total indirect effect</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific indirect effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCOM-&gt;CI-&gt;DV¹</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCOM-&gt;PE-&gt;DV¹</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total effect</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Covariates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. All coefficients shown are standardized; ^GROW=Growth mindset; GCOM=Goal Commitment; CI=Consistency of Interest; PE=Perseverance of Effort; DV = dependent variable (i.e., GPA and engagement); † p < .10, * p < .05, ** p < .01.
Figure 4. Mediation model 1.

*Note.* SES and gender as covariates. Only significant paths were presented in the model for clarity.
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