

# HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI HUMANISTINEN TIEDEKUNTA HUMANISTISKA FAKULTETEN FACULTY OF ARTS

Typology of small-scale multilingualism

15-17 April 2019

Lyon

### A TYPOLOGICAL APPROACH TO MULTILINGUALISM

Kaius Sinnemäki General Linguistics

Kaius.sinnemaki@helsinki.fi

### RATIONALE

In sociolinguistics, comparative research tends to be variationist, corpus-based, and limited to a few languages at a time (Tagliamonte 2002).

Question:

- What if the aim was to compare multilingualism across many different language communities at the same time? Challenge:
- Understanding multilingualism seems to presuppose description of unique grouping of sociolinguistic features (Di Carlo et al. 2019). Hypothesis:
- Large-scale comparative sociolinguistics can benefit from lessons learned in typology.

# FROM TYPOLOGY TO SOCIOLINGUISTICS

Linguistic usage patterns are highly contextdependent and language-specific. How to compare them across diverse languages?

- → Define comparative concepts; no universal or descriptive categories (Haspelmath 2010).
- → Identify definitional vs. correlational aspects of the object and context.

E.g. case marking of the object (Sinnemäki 2014):

- Define case marking and object using functional and formal criteria (e.g. Blake 2001).
- Delimit context to prototypical transitive clauses, e.g. *They pushed the car*.
- Identify correlational aspects, such as semantic properties of the referent.

### Concept evaluation:

- How well they delimit the object of study
- Do they allow meaningful generalizations?

But: abstraction leads also to information loss.

	Linguistic domain	Societal domain
Behaviour	Linguistic conventions	Social conventions
Description	Descriptive (linguistic) categories	Descriptive (social) categories
Comparison	Comparative (linguistic) concepts	Comparative (social) concepts

Table 1: Parallel levels of analysis in the linguistic and societal domains.

Apply these ideas to sociolinguistic features. (also Table 1)

- → Define multilingualism (and its subtypes) as comparative concepts.
- → Identify definitional & correlating features.

## EXAMPLE: (A)SYMMETRICAL MULTILINGUALISM

A comparative concept for *multilingualism*:

- Speakers of community X speak also language of community of Y.

Should symmetry be defined in terms of demography, language status, prestige, or hierarchy? If demography, a starting point for the definition could be:

- In *symmetrical multilingualism* speakers in language communities X and Y generally speak also each other's language.
- In asymmetrical multilingualism speakers of language community X generally speak also language of community Y who generally do not speak language of community X.
  - E.g. Sanzhi Dargwa and Standard Dargwa in Daghestan (Forker 2019).

Concepts also requiring definition:

- generally, language, speak(er), community.

What about relative community size or cultural practices, such as marriage patterns (linguistic exogamy), subsistence type (hunter-gatherers), religion, and habitat (rural, urban)?

These are potentially correlational features whose relationship to multilingualism can be tested separately.

- A hypothesis: the more the communities differ in their numbers of speakers, the more likely multilingualism is asymmetrical.

### **FUTURE WORK**

The idea of adapting methods from language typology to comparison in sociolinguistics serves as a basis for building a large-scale database for sociolinguistic environments of language communities. Features would include relative intensity of language contact vs. isolation; multilingualism; typological distances of languages in contact; differences in prestige; number of L2 speakers; etc.

### References

Blake, B. 2001. *Case*. CUP.

Di Carlo, P. et al. 2019. Multilingualism in rural Africa. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Linguistics*. Forker, D. 2019. Grammar writing and language contact. Plenary talk at the Conference *Descriptive grammars* 

Haspelmath, M. 2010. Comparative concepts and descriptive categories in crosslinguistic studies. *Language* 86.

and typology, 27-29 March 2019, Helsinki.

Sinnemäki, K. 2014. A typological perspective on Differential Object Marking. *Linguistics* 52.

Tagliamonte, S. 2002. Comparative sociolinguistics. In J. Chambers et al. (eds.), *The Handbook of Language Variation and Change*. Blackwell.

This research is part of the project *LINGUISTIC ADAPTATION: Typological and Sociolinguistic Perspectives to Language Variation*, funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 805371), 2019–2023.





Established by the European Commission