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Ring melanoma of the anterior chamber angle as a mimicker of pigmentary glaucoma
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ABSTRACT

A 19-year-old man noticed blurred vision in his right eye. He had an intraocular pressure of 60 versus 12 mmHg in the fellow eye. He was initially diagnosed with an atypical, advanced pigmentary glaucoma. The intraocular pressure did not respond to maximal medication, deep sclerectomy, goniopuncture, and 2 cyclophotocoagulations. Sixteen months after presentation, malignancy was first suspected, and the eye was enucleated. A ring melanoma of the anterior chamber angle was confirmed by the histopathologic examination. Normal nuclear staining for breast cancer 1 gene (BRCA1)-associated protein 1 suggested that the tumor was likely of disomy 3 type with a favorable prognosis. No local or systemic recurrence has developed within 4 years. A literature review of this rare type of minimal volume diffuse uveal melanoma identified 18 additional patients. The initial diagnosis in 18 of the 19 patients with a ring melanoma of the anterior chamber angle was unilateral glaucoma with a median intraocular pressure of 40 mmHg and an age range of 16–76 years. Liver metastasis developed in 5 of 12 patients older than 45 years. This rare subtype is estimated to account for 0.05%–0.16% of all uveal melanomas.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Case report

A 19-year-old man presented with a 1-week history of blurred vision without pain in his right eye. He had no history of ocular injury. His visual acuity was counting fingers at 5 feet in the affected eye and 20/20 in the fellow eye. The intraocular pressures (IOP) were 60 mmHg and 12 mmHg, respectively. Mild conjunctival hyperemia was present. The cornea was clear with no Krukenberg spindle. The anterior chamber was deep with a few pigmented cells in the aqueous. On gonioscopy, the chamber angle was heavily pigmented. The iris did not transilluminate. The lens was clear, but some pigment...
dispersion on the anterior capsule was noted. The optic disc was almost totally excavated. Magnetic resonance imaging of the orbits and brain, iris fluorescein angiography, and examination of the fellow eye were all unremarkable. He was diagnosed with advanced, atypical unilateral pigmented glaucoma by a glaucoma specialist, and topical IOP lowering medication was prescribed.

The IOP remained high at 31 mmHg with up to 4 topical medications (prostaglandin analog, carbonic acid inhibitor, and a brimonidine/timolol combination). Six months after his first visit, he underwent deep sclerectomy. The filtration was considered insufficient, and laser gonipuncture was performed unsuccessfully. Because the IOP still remained at 32 mmHg on topical medications and oral carbonic anhydrase inhibitor 250 mg t.i.d., the eye was cyclophotocoagulated a month later. The inferior sector received 20 applications of 650 mW applied for 8 seconds. Normotension was now achieved. After 6 months, the IOP was 30 mmHg; thus, a second cyclophotocoagulation was performed. Now the temporal sector was treated with 20 applications. One month after the procedure, the IOP was 46 mmHg, and the patient was referred to an ophthalmic surgeon experienced in Molteno implant surgery. The atypical pigment dispersion caught his attention. On the same day, the patient was referred to the center for ocular oncology in the Helsinki University Eye Hospital.

The visual acuity of the right eye was 20/400, and the IOP was 49 mmHg on his current medications. The corneal endothelium showed minor pigment dispersion. The iris had a few pigment flecks that resembled small iris nevi and freckles (Fig. 1A). The anterior lens capsule showed prominent pigment deposition. On gonioscopy, the angle was heavily pigmented but had an otherwise normal appearance except inferiorly, where it was velvetlike with several dilated capillaries and some broad anterior synechiae (Fig. 1B). Superiorly, the angle was grayish with less abundant pigment dispersion. A visual field from the referring hospital showed extensive glaucomatous damage (Fig. 1B, inset). The optic disc was almost totally excavated (Fig. 1C). As examined with an ultrasound biomicroscope, the ciliary body and iris appeared normal in all quadrants with no evidence of a tumor (Fig. 1D and E).

The absence of any typical findings of pigmented glaucoma, such as bilaterality, Krukenberg spindle, a deep anterior chamber, and transillumination defects in the iris, together with the refractory nature of the IOP, were strongly suggestive of a ring melanoma of the anterior chamber angle.6 The by now almost blind eye was enucleated 18 months after the first symptoms. The macroscopic examination showed no sign of the tumor (Fig. 1F). In the histopathologic examination, tumor cells were found in the chamber angle and the trabecular meshwork and also focally infiltrating the ciliary body band (Fig. 2A–C). Both small epithelioid-like and plump spindle–shaped melanoma cells were present, and the infiltrative growth pattern of single noncohesive cells at the base of the ciliary body and in the iris stroma also favored a partially epithelioid-like nature of the melanoma (Fig. 2D–F). Cells were also found in the iris root, anterior iris stroma, and adjacent deep scleral lamellae (Fig. 3A). There were tumor-infiltrating macrophages on the lens capsule where melanoma cells were scarce (Fig. 3B) and in the ciliary body and trabecular meshwork (Fig. 3C). The diagnosis of a ring melanoma of the anterior chamber angle was confirmed. The tumor cells showed normal nuclear immunoreactivity for breast cancer 1 gene (BRCA1)-associated protein 1 (BAP1; Fig. 3D–F). No germline mutation in the BAP1 gene was found in peripheral blood lymphocyte DNA (for methodology, see26). During a follow-up of 4 years, no local recurrence or metastases have been found.

2. Discussion

2.1. Epidemiology

A ring melanoma of the anterior chamber angle,6 alternatively referred to as a trabecular meshwork melanoma,28 is an infrequent, but distinct, minimal volume diffuse uveal melanoma. It infiltrates the chamber angle in a ring-like pattern, typically approaching 360°. It has minimal iris and ciliary body involvement and thus cannot be reliably diagnosed with ultrasound biomicroscopy, unlike common ring melanomas of the ciliary body and iris.6,28 The diagnosis is clinical and is based on gonioscopy and a high suspicion in a setting that mimics unilateral pigmentary glaucoma. Unilateral pigmentary glaucoma without evidence of pigment dispersion in the fellow eye is in itself rare, but may occur after angle recession.20,24

We conducted a PubMed search and found 1 referral-based case series of 14 patients among 8800 uveal melanomas7 plus 4 single case reports of this entity.5,11,15 In the case series, this type of diffuse tumor accounted for 0.16% (95% confidence interval: 0.09–0.27) of uveal melanomas.5 In our population-based database, the patient we describe is the first case among 1973 primary uveal melanomas (0.05%; 95% confidence interval: 0.01–0.28). Given that the estimated 7000 uveal melanomas are diagnosed annually worldwide,16 these 2 estimates would translate to between 1 and 19 ring melanomas of the anterior chamber angle per year.

2.2. Clinical and histopathologic characteristics

Table 1 summarizes the clinical and histopathologic findings of the 19 patients diagnosed with a ring melanoma of the anterior chamber angle. The median age of these patients was 59 years (range: 16–76). Because the cumulative frequency of having uveal melanoma diagnosed increases notably after the age of 40–45 years,18 the patients are subdivided in 2 age groups, those younger and older than 45 years. The median age was 24 years (range: 16–40) and 61 years (range: 52–76), respectively. The median diagnostic delay was shorter in the younger age group (2 vs 6 months), possibly because glaucoma in the younger age group is rare, and an underlying disease may thus be sought more actively.

There were no significant differences between the 2 groups concerning the clinical trabecular meshwork involvement (median: 11 vs 10 clock hours, the younger vs the older age group), iris root involvement (83% vs 73%), histologic pars plicata involvement (67% vs 73%), and histological extrascleral extension (29% vs 36%).
2.3. Prognosis

The original case series that laid the foundation for diagnosing a ring melanoma of the anterior chamber angle postulated that the prognosis of a ring melanoma of the anterior chamber angle in general might be poor because a high IOP combined with chamber angle involvement might promote hematogenous spread. In practice, 5 of 18 patients (29%) with follow-up data have developed liver metastasis, but the age of these 5 patients ranged from 59 to 76 years; that is, all were in the older age group.

Iris melanomas are proportionally more common than ciliary body and choroidal ones in children and young adults. Given that 5 of the patients (26%) with a ring melanoma of the anterior chamber angle have been younger than 30 years at the time of diagnosis, it also seems that it is relatively more common among the young, suggesting that some of these tumors may derive from iridal melanocytes. Iris melanomas have a better average survival prognosis than more posterior uveal melanomas. This would be consistent with the observation that liver metastases have developed only in the older age group. In addition to a potential iris origin, however,

Fig. 1 – A: Slit-lamp image showing innocuous appearing small nevus and freckle-like patches on the anterior iris stroma. B: Composite gonioscopic images showing the pigmented chamber angle. Inset, Octopus visual field consistent with advanced glaucoma. C: The optic disk is almost totally excavated. D: Ultrasound biomicroscopy image of the inferior and E: superior part of the ciliary body showing no tumor and only a blunt angle. F: Macroscopic examination shows no tumor.
young age in itself might improve prognosis because young patients with uveal melanoma in general have a lower risk for metastases than middle-aged and older adults.\textsuperscript{1,13} One patient, aged 16 years, developed ipsilateral parotid lymph node metastases.\textsuperscript{11} He had massive extraocular extension and orbital invasion of the tumor, and histopathologic examination revealed an advanced epithelioid cell-type melanoma. Lymphatic spread of uveal melanoma is extremely rare due to lack of intraocular and orbital lymphatic vessels. Anterior location of ring melanomas allows lymph node metastases after tumor extension to the conjunctiva lymphatic vessels.\textsuperscript{7,9} Lymph node metastasis have also been seen after glaucoma surgery.\textsuperscript{3,30} No estimate can yet be made whether or not lymph node metastases will be more common from uveal melanomas of the anterior chamber angle, although the anterior location of the tumor is an obvious risk.

Fig. 2 – A: The inferior cross section and B: the superior cross section of the chamber angle showing infiltration of trabecular meshwork, ciliary body band, iris root, and iris stroma by melanoma cells highlighted by red stain. C: Infiltration of the sclera along collector channels (asterisks). D: Noncohesive small amelanotic epithelioid-like melanoma cells (arrowheads) with large nucleoli infiltrate diffusely the base of the ciliary body. A group of plump spindle-shaped, sparsely pigmented melanoma cells (double arrowheads) are also seen. E: Small amelanotic epithelioid-like melanoma cells (asterisk) grow on the surface of the iris as a sheet from which larger non-pigmented (arrowheads) and pigmented tumor cells infiltrate the iris stroma. F: Mostly plump spindle-shaped melanoma cells infiltrate the trabecular meshwork area. (A–B, immunohistochemical staining for MelanA, 60 X; C, H&E, 170 X; D–F, H&E, 250 X.)
factor, suggesting that review of regional lymph nodes is advisable.

Regarding the prognosis, the normal nuclear BAP1 immunohistochemistry in our patient favors a disomy 3 melanoma with limited metastatic potential and is encouraging, especially because tumor extension to the chamber angle that we observed is in general associated with a higher rate of metastasis from iris melanomas.\(^1\) For over 20 years, monosomy 3 is known to be related to poorer prognosis in uveal melanoma.\(^2\) The tumor suppressor gene BAP1 has been mapped to the chromosome,\(^3\) and irrespective of chromosome 3 status, mutations in BAP1, which typically abolish BAP1 immunoreactivity, are associated with metastatic progression and poorer survival.\(^10,14,17,25\)

2.4. Differential diagnosis

The initial diagnosis in 18 of the 19 patients with a ring melanoma of the anterior chamber angle, including our patient, was unilateral glaucoma. One patient was initially diagnosed to have an iris nevus. Early diagnosis is challenging because the rise of the IOP is usually gradual and painless, and visual symptoms are late findings. The median IOP at diagnosis was 40 mmHg (range: 24–62, IOP level was not mentioned in 1 patient).\(^1\) There was no significant difference in median IOP between the younger and the older age group (36 mmHg, range: 24–49, vs 40 mmHg, range: 25–62). Of note, none of the patients achieved a normal IOP level when treated with medications or surgical procedures. Twelve of the 19 patients (63%) had a visual acuity of 20/40 or better at the time of diagnosis.

Our patient was initially diagnosed as having unilateral pigmented glaucoma, although no traditional diagnostic criteria were present, except that the patient was a young man. Typical findings in pigment dispersion glaucoma include Krukenberg spindle on the corneal endothelium, a deep anterior chamber, transillumination defects in the iris, and a richly pigmented trabecular meshwork, and it is characteristic a bilateral condition.\(^21\) Secondary glaucoma can be the first sign of an intraocular melanoma,\(^4,8,12,22,31\) especially of a ring melanoma of the anterior chamber angle that may not yet be well known among glaucoma specialists. A further problem in reaching the correct diagnosis is that the usual diagnostic criteria of uveal melanoma are not met. This factor should be kept in mind when faced with a unilateral or highly asymmetric glaucoma, especially when the pressure does not respond to treatment and when clinical findings are atypical of pigmentary glaucoma.

Our patient had undergone glaucoma filtration surgery, which may have altered the prognosis. Filtration surgery is not recommended in eyes with uveal melanoma not to risk extracocular spread of the tumor. Routine biopsy obtained in conjunction with filtration surgery, on the other hand, might have revealed the tumor earlier. It would be advisable for glaucoma surgeons to consider submitting trabeculectomy
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference/case</th>
<th>Age when glaucoma diagnosed/gender</th>
<th>Diagnostic delay (months)</th>
<th>Glaucoma surgery</th>
<th>Metastases</th>
<th>Trabecular meshwork involvement (clock hours, clinical)</th>
<th>Iris root involvement (clinical)</th>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Extrascleral extension (clinical)</th>
<th>Pars plicata involvement (histological)</th>
<th>Follow-up time (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt; 45 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>16/M</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Trabeculectomy</td>
<td>Regional lymph node</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Epithelioid</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/14</td>
<td>23/F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Small epithelioid</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1</td>
<td>24/F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/2</td>
<td>29/M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/3</td>
<td>36/M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4</td>
<td>40/F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥ 45 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/13</td>
<td>52/M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/5</td>
<td>58/M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>59/F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hepatic</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Epithelioid</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>59/M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>60/F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>60/M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hepatic</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>61/M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hepatic</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/10</td>
<td>65/M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/11</td>
<td>70/F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>73/M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Iridectomy</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>74/M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hepatic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/12</td>
<td>76/M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hepatic</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A, not available.
* None of the patients had clinical involvement.
and sclerectomy specimens for histopathologic study in atypical cases.

3. Conclusion

A uveal melanoma of the anterior chamber angle is a rare tumor that can mimic unilateral glaucoma. There should be high suspicion of a malignancy in diagnosing an atypical unilateral glaucoma.

4. Literature search

We conducted a literature search using PubMed with search terms “uveal melanoma,” “chamber angle,” and “ trabecular meshwork.” References cited in the articles were included in the search, including non-English ones.
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